

physical injury (Document No. 3).¹

On December 21, 2005 Plaintiff filed a “Motion to Answer Order; Amendment of more Defendants” and a “Temporary Restraining Order and/or Preliminary Injunction. By Order dated January 5, 2006, this Court denied both motions due to its prior Order dismissing the case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1916(g) (Document No. 8).

By the instant Motion, Plaintiff seeks to have this Court reconsider its January 5, 2006 Order. This Court declines to consider Plaintiff’s most recent motion as the basis for this Court’s dismissal still stands and Plaintiff has not asserted any new claims that would alter the analysis of that Order. Therefore, Plaintiff’s “Motion to Answer Order dated 1/5/06” is denied.

¹ Court records indicate that in addition to the instant case, Plaintiff filed at least two cases in the Eastern District; 5:02-CT-892-H, 5:03-CT-910H; one case in the Middle District 2:95cv132 and one in the Fourth Circuit; 04-7550. All were dismissed as frivolous.

Signed: January 20, 2006

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Graham C. Mullen", written over a horizontal line.

Graham C. Mullen
Chief United States District Judge

